

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO SEC FILING DATE 3/36	SHINERST NAMED INVENTOR	E ALLOËVËĀ DÖCKEL NO
JOHN B SOWELL	34M2/0919 ¬	PRICEXAMINER
PATENT ATTORNEY 182 MIDFIELD ROAD ARDMORE PA 19003		ART UNITAL PAPER NUMBER
		DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. **08/588,866**

Applicant(s)

SHEMIK ET AL

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Group Art Unit

3406



	CARL D. PRICE	3406
Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL .		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,		n as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fa application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ex 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ilure to respond within the period	I for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims		
	is/are p	pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)		
Claim(s)		
☐ Claims		
	•	·
Application Papers X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pa	awing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	13 13	ывиррготой.
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinar.	er	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	ority under 25 U.S.C. & 119/o\-//	d)
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED cop	•	
received.	ics of the phoney documents have	70 00011
received in Application No. (Series Code/Seria	l Number)	
received in this national stage application from		lule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:		·
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	
Attachment(s)		
☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap	per No(s)	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413		
🛮 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT	⁻ O-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
SEE OFFICE ACTION	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Art Unit: 3406

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rex, Jr., et al.

Rex, Jr., et al discloses a method of forming a universal prefabricated molded combustion chamber made from molding a solution of alumina silicate fibers and a silica binder (see column 1, line 51-column 2, line 28) into the shape of a chamber. The molded material is then dried (column 2, line 40) and later fired (column 2, line 63) to form the finished chamber.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scheppers in view of either French '269.

Serial Number: 08/588,866 Page 3

Art Unit: 3406

Scheppers discloses the invention substantially as set forth I the claims with possible exception to 1) the use of grooved joints mechanically interconnect the panels 2) the manner of forming openings in the chamber walls and, 3) the use of reinforcing means attached to the panel corners.

Scheppers discloses a method of forming a universal prefabricated molded combustion chamber made from molding a solution of alumina silicate fibers and a silica binder (see column 3, lines 1-10) into the shape of a chamber or a plurality of panels which when assemble, with the aid of a cement or adhesive to form a combustion chamber (see column 3, lines 25-28). The molded or formed chamber is then to a "green" form (column 3, line 14) and later fired (see column 3, lines 26-28) to form the finished product. Scheppers discusses that "cutting or drilling or the like conventional suitable means" may be used to form orifices in the chamber for the purpose of accommodating the necessary burner means.

French '269 teaches, from the same modular fireplace field of endeavor as Scheppers, the use of sealed grooved joints to for joints between panels of a prefabricated combustion chamber. French '269 also discloses the use of metallic means (see figure 6; "agraffe") to reinforce the corners of the panels.

In regard to claims 1-19, for the purpose of providing a mechanical joint between the panels to increase the integrity thereof, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the joints of Scheppers to include adhesively sealed grooves with corner reinforcing, in view of the teaching of French '269. Also, in regard to claims 3,4 and 5, for

Serial Number: 08/588,866

Art Unit: 3406

example, since forming holes by machining and punching are well known manufacturing methods

for forming holes and since Scheppers acknowledges the use of "any conventional suitable means"

for forming orifices in a chamber wall, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the

art to select machining or punching as suitable steps in the method of manufacturing the

combustion chamber of Scheppers. Finally, in regard to claims 8,12 and 15, for example, since

the shape of the combustion chamber would depend on numerous design concerns such as the

shape of a given fireplace, to form the side walls to be "flat" can be viewed as nothing more than a

mere matter of choice in design absent the showing of any new or unexpected results produced

therefrom over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Netherlands '284, Fogerty, Germany '833, Germany '601, Shumockand Miedaneer et al

all show panel type combustion chambers.

United Kingdom '783 discusses the use of ceramic fibers to form a combustion chamber.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to C. Price whose telephone number is (703) 308-1953.

C.P.

September 12, 1997

Page 4